UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,156	03/27/2002	Hiroaki Munehira	220800US2XPCT	9787
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	10/089,156	MUNEHIRA ET AL.				
Oπice Action Summary		MUNEHIRA ET AL.				
-	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QUAN-ZHEN WANG	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 N</u>	March 2009					
	s action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
· ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
··· <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/089,156 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerfoot et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,704,511 B1) in view of Ryu et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,330,384B1) and Cao (U.S. Patent US 6,731,877 B1), and further in view of Hamada (U.S. Patent US 5,703,711).

Regarding claims 15 and 17, and claim 19, Kerfoot discloses a wavelength division multiplexing and optical transmission apparatus (fig. 3), comprising:

an optical multiplexer (fig. 3, multiplexer 132) configured to transmit a multiplexed optical signal including a non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal (fig. 3, signals from dummy optical source 200) and a plurality of modulated optical signals (fig. 3, signals from transmitters 150);

a plurality of optical transmitting units (fig. 3, transmitters 150) configured to output the plurality of modulated optical signals to respective input ports of the optical multiplexer, each of the optical transmitting units configured to modulate a unique wavelength with a plurality of data signals and to output a respective modulated optical signal occupying a respective portion of a signal band; and

Application/Control Number: 10/089,156

Art Unit: 2613

a dummy optical signal source device (fig. 3, dummy optical source 200) configured to generate the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal, including:

Page 3

an input optical amplifier with non input (column 3, lines 53-56) to generate an amplified spontaneous emission light signal,

a light dividing element (fig. 5, DEMUX 144) connected the output of the optical amplifier device and configured to output plural signals related to the amplified spontaneous emission light signal,

at least a first and second plurality of bandpass filters (fig. 5, the bandpass filters) having adjacent filter pass bands, each bandpass filter having a respective bandpass characteristic (inherent) and each arranged to receive a respective one of the plural signals output from the light dividing element and configured to output respective non- modulated spectrum slice optical signal components (fig. 7, signals 182 and 184), a first non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component being adjacent on a high side to the signal band, a second non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component being adjacent on a low side to the signal band (fig. 7),

at least a first dummy signal optical multiplexer (fig. 5, MUX 146), each dummy signal optical multiplexer having inputs connected to outputs of the plurality of bandpass filters, respectively (fig. 7).

Art Unit: 2613

Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically disclose that the dummy optical source comprising a second dummy signal multiplexer. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a second dummy signal multiplexer in the system of Kerfoot, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.* 

The modified system of Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically disclose that the input optical amplifier having a signal input terminated without reflection. However, it is well known in the art to terminate an optical amplifier without reflection for a broad band amplified spontaneous emission light source. For example, Ryu teaches an optical amplifying means (fig. 3) for outputting an amplified spontaneous emission light signal including an optical amplifier having a signal input terminated without reflection (fig. 3, terminal end 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to use the termination concept of Ryu in the system of Kerfoot to configure the optical amplifying means including an optical amplifier having a signal input terminated without reflection. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to do so in order to avoid an undesired oscillation (Ryu, column 3, lines 18-24).

The modified system of Kerfoot and Ryu differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot and Ryu do not specifically disclose that the dummy optical signal source comprising: at least a first and second output optical amplifier, each having an input

Art Unit: 2613

connected to an output of a respective one of the dummy signal optical multiplexer, and having respective outputs. However, Cao, from the same field of endeavor, teaches a concept of connecting an optical amplifier (i.e., fig. 4, amplifier 9) to a multiplexer (fig. 1, Amplifiers 24a and 24b). It is well recognized that an optical signal degrades as it travels through a transmission line or an optical component, and t is also well recognized that an optical amplifier could be used at any point of a communication system to restore signal strength of an optical signal, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate optical amplifiers connecting to the first and second signal multiplexer in the modified system of Kerfoot and Ryu, as it is disclosed by Cao, in order to restore or boost the optical signal strength to a desired level.

The modified system of Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao do not specifically disclose that the optical amplifiers are configured to modify a gain of at least one non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component in order to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components when no signal is available for amplification for one of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components. However, using a controller to control an optical amplifier to set a gain to a predetermined profile is well known in the art. For example, Hamada discloses utilizing a controller to control an optical amplifier to modify the gain to a predetermined gain profile (abstract, column 2, lines 13-32, and figs. 1-6). In addition, any one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that an optical amplifier in the art is transparent to

signals carried by optical carriers. In other words, an optical amplifier amplifies the input light within the amplification spectral band to the amplifier regardless if there is signal (data) carried by the light or not. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate a controller of Hamada into the modified system of Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao to amplifier the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a gain according to predetermined value (Hamada: abstract).

Regarding claims 16 and 18, the modified system of Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao do not disclose that the dummy optical signal source device in the system further comprising:

a third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters having adjacent filter pass bands, and arrange to receive respective ones of the plural signals output from the light dividing element,

a third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters,

a third, fourth and fifth output optical amplifier connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer, the third and fifth output optical amplifier configured to amplify at a heightened amplification level when the fourth output optical amplifier does not output a corresponding non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal so as to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-

Application/Control Number: 10/089,156 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

modulated spectrum slice optical signals input to the dummy signal optical multiplexer.

However, these limitations are further duplications of the essential working parts of the modified system of Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the dummy optical signal source device in the system further including:

a third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters having adjacent filter pass bands, and arrange to receive respective ones of the plural signals output from the light dividing element,

a third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters,

a third, fourth and fifth output optical amplifier connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer, the third and fifth output optical amplifier configured to amplify at a heightened amplification level when the fourth output optical amplifier does not output a corresponding non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal so as to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signals input to the dummy signal optical multiplexer;

since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 are moot in view of the cancellation of the rejections.

4. Applicant's other arguments filed on 3/24/2009 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "... Hamada does not specifically describe modifying a gain of at least one non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component in order to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components when no signal is available for amplification for one of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components." However, any one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that an optical amplifier in the art is transparent to signals carried by optical carriers. In other words, an optical amplifier amplifies the input light within the amplification spectral band to the amplifier regardless if there is signal (data) carried by the light or not.

Applicant argues, "Although Hamada describes an optical amplifier that can amplify a signal, Hamada never goes into detail regarding what triggers this amplification (e.g. in the claimed invention the medication is triggered when no signal is available for amplification for one of the non- modulated spectrum slice optical signal components)". However, the argued terminology is not reflected in the claim. For example, the claim does not cite the "detail regarding what triggers" the amplifier.

Applicant further argues that Hamada does not describe that "this amplification modifies a gain of at least one non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component

in order to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components." However, as it is indicated in the rejection, an optical amplifier in the art is transparent to signals carried by optical carriers. In other words, an optical amplifier amplifies the input light within the amplification spectral band to the amplifier regardless if there is signal (data) carried by the light or not. As to the predetermined overall gain profile, it is an inherent feature of the amplifier of Hamada.

Page 9

For the above reasons, the rejections of claims 15-19 still stand.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUAN-ZHEN WANG whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/089,156 Page 10

Art Unit: 2613

272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday -

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

4/20/2009

/Quan-Zhen Wang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613